Project RoU Verification Report

2022

# **COVER PAGE**

# **RoU Project Verification Report Form (VR)**

BASIC INFORMATION			
Name of approved UWR Project Verifier / Reference No.	Enviance Services Private Limited		
Type of Accreditation	RoU Accreditation UWR Water Audit/Water Footprint Expertise		
Approved UWR RoU Scopes for Project Verification	RoU Scope 5: Conservation measures taken to recycle and/or reuse water, spent wash, wastewater etc. across or within specific industrial processes and systems, including wastewater recycled/ reused in a different process, but within the same site or location of the project activity. Recycled wastewater used in off-site landscaping, gardening or tree plantations/forests activity are also eligible under this Scope.		
Validity of UWR approval of Verifier	30/09/2027		
Completion date of this VR	13/05/2025		
Title of the project activity	Initiative for waste water recycle and reuse by ST COTTEX EXPORTS PRIVATE LIMITED		
Project reference no.  (as provided by UWR RoU Program under Approved for Verification tab)	UWR ID: 514		
Name of Entity requesting verification service  (can be Project Owners themselves or any Entity having authorization of Project Owners, example aggregator.)	Viviid Emissions Reductions Universal Pvt. Ltd.		
Contact details of the representative of the Entity, requesting verification service  (Focal Point assigned for all communications)	Name: Lokesh Jain Email ID – lokesh.jain@viviidgreen.com		
Country where project is located	India		

Applied reference documents used for estimation	Water Data Guide
(approved water data and reference guides under the UWR Rou Standard used)	
Project Verification Criteria:  Mandatory requirements to be assessed	<ul> <li>☑ UWR Standard</li> <li>☑ Applicable Approved         Calculations</li> <li>☑ Applicable Legal         requirements /rules of host         country</li> <li>☑ Eligibility of the Project Type</li> <li>☑ Start date of the Project         activity</li> <li>☑ Meet applicability conditions         in the applied methodology</li> <li>☑ Credible Water Data Sets</li> <li>☑ Do No Harm Test</li> <li>☑ ROU calculations</li> <li>☑ PCNMR</li> <li>☑ No Double Counting</li> <li>☐ Others (please mention         below)</li> </ul>
Project Verification Criteria: Optional requirements to be assessed	<ul> <li>Environmental Safeguards         Standard and do-no-harm         criteria</li> <li>Social Safeguards Standard         do-no-harm criteria</li> </ul>
Project Verifier's Confirmation:  The UWR Project Verifier has verified the UWR project activity and therefore confirms the following:	The UWR RoU Project Verifier [Enviance Services Private Limited], certifies the following with respect to the UWR Project Activity [Initiative for waste water recycle and reuse by ST COTTEX EXPORTS PRIVATE LIMITED].  The Project Owner has correctly described the Project Activity in the PCNMR (dated 17/04/2025) including the applicability of the guidance documents and water data as outlined in the UWR RoU Standard [RoU Scope 5: Conservation measures taken to recycle and/or reuse water, spent wash, wastewater etc. across or within specific industrial processes and systems, including wastewater recycled/ reused in a different process, but within the same site or

	location of the project activity. Recycled wastewater used in off-site landscaping, gardening or tree plantations/forests activity are also eligible under this Scope] and meets the applicability conditions and has achieved the estimated RoUs, complies with the monitoring methodology and has calculated RoU estimates correctly and conservatively.  In the Project Activity is likely to generate 573,523 RoUs as indicated in the PCNMR, which are applicable with UWR rules  The Project Activity is not likely to cause any net-harm to the environment and/or society  The Project Activity complies with all the applicable UWR rules¹ and therefore recommends UWR Program to register the Project activity with RoUs.
Project Verification Report, reference number and date of approval	Verification Report UWR Project ID: 514  Date: 14-05-2025
Name of the authorised personnel of UWR Project Verifier and his/her signature with date	Vidhya MuraliKrishna Quality Manager Date: 14-05-2025

 $<sup>^{1}</sup> https://a23e347601d72166dcd6-\\ 16da518ed3035d35cf0439f1cdf449c9.ssl.cf2.rackcdn.com//Documents/UWR terms and conditions Ver 6\_171023134009203288.pdf$ 

#### PROJECT VERIFICATION REPORT

## **Executive summary**

The project activity is titled – "Initiative for waste water recycle and reuse by ST COTTEX EXPORTS PRIVATE LIMITED". The project is located in Machhiwara, Ludhiana, Punjab, India.

Company's Name	Plant Treatment Capacity (m³/d)	Commissi	oning Date	Location	Geo co-ordinates of Location
S.T. Cottex Exports Pvt. Ltd	Installed capacity = 1000 m³/d (1 MLD)  Operational capacity = 650 m³/d (0.65 MLD)	ETP	16/11/2013	Machhiwara, Ludhiana, Punjab, India	1.Machhiwara – Unit 5: 30°54'36.5"N & 76°09'03.7"E  2.Machhiwara – Unit 12: 30°54'25.5"N & 76°09'03.0"E

The project participant, S.T. Cottex Exports Pvt. Ltd., a leading textile manufacturer based in North India, operates a vertically integrated spinning and knitting facility with a production capacity of 220 metric tons per day. The company has established a combined Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) with Reverse Osmosis (RO), and Ultrafiltration (UF) plant with a combined of 1 MLD (Million Liters per Day), with the earliest commissioning being 16<sup>th</sup> November 2013.

The project consists of the development of a 1 MLD wastewater recycling system designed to treat and reuse effluent efficiently, significantly reducing reliance on freshwater resources. In the absence of this project activity, ST COTTEX EXPORTS PRIVATE LIMITED would have depended on groundwater extraction to meet its water demands, exacerbating the already critical issue of water scarcity in India. With urban and industrial sectors generating over 72,368 million liters of wastewater daily, only 28% of which is currently treated, the challenge of wastewater management remains a pressing concern. The baseline scenario involved the discharge of untreated or partially treated wastewater, leading to groundwater depletion and environmental pollution. However, through the advanced treatment processes implemented—including Effluent Treatment Plants (ETPs), ultrafiltration (UF), and reverse osmosis (RO) systems—the project now ensures the recycling and reuse of water, reducing the dependency on groundwater and promoting a sustainable water management approach.

This project entails the installation and operation of Sewage Treatment Plants (STPs) and Ultra-Filtration Plant with Reverse Osmosis System of 1 MLD with the earliest commissioning of 16th November 2013, at an industrial facility to recycle wastewater effectively and minimize groundwater abstraction by 75-80%. The project involves a 500 KLD STP at Unit 5 and a 500 KLD STP at Unit 12, cumulatively providing a total treatment capacity of 1000 KLD or 1 MLD. The primary objective is to treat 650 KLD of wastewater generated from domestic sources and the Humidity Plant and recycle the treated water for non-potable uses and in the Humidity Plant, with 25% of reject water is utilized for plantation, the plants were commissioned in a phased manner to accommodate the growing operations capacity and to incrementally increase the water treatment and reuse capacity, with the readings being formally recorded from 1st December 2018.

The wastewater recycling project in Punjab represents a significant step toward addressing the region's water security challenges. By treating and reusing wastewater generated from industrial sources, this project reduces dependency on groundwater, thereby conserving a vital resource under severe stress. The project integrates advanced treatment technologies, including physico-chemical treatment, MBBR bioreactors, and membrane filtration systems like ultrafiltration (UF) and reverse osmosis (RO). These processes effectively eliminate contaminants, ensuring high-quality recycled water suitable for industrial reuse and non-potable applications such as landscaping and toilet flushing. Additionally, reuse minimizes the environmental impact of wastewater disposal, reducing pollution in water bodies and protecting aquatic life. This circular approach significantly reduces the reliance on groundwater, a precious natural resource. By minimizing the demand for fresh water, the operations of the plant can contribute to water conservation efforts and alleviate pressure on depleting aquifers.

The Punjab Pollution Control Board (PPCB) has specific discharge norms for treated effluent water into inland surface water, aiming to prevent pollution and maintain water quality. These standards include limits on BOD, COD, TSS, and other pollutants, with BOD limits being stricter for inland surface water discharge compared to other uses.

The Project Proponent (PP) affirms that they meet all the requirements outlined in the management plan regarding ownership, legal rights, permits, and cost details for the successful implementation of the project. Specifically:

Water User Rights: The PP holds the necessary water user rights for the area within the project's boundary. These rights are legally secured and ensure that the PP has full entitlement to use the water resources required for the project's operations.

Legal Land Title: The PP holds an uncontested legal land title for the entire project area within the project's boundary. The title is fully documented and free of any disputes, confirming the PP's legal right to utilize the land for project purposes.

Necessary Permits: The PP has obtained all the required permits for the implementation of the project. In cases where certain permits are pending, the PP has already applied for the necessary approvals and is working in full compliance with the relevant regulatory requirements to ensure the timely commencement of the project.

Cost Details: The PP has thoroughly assessed and documented the cost details for project implementation. Capital Cost of project was Rs 2 Crores. covering all aspects of project development, including infrastructure, permits, equipment, and operational costs.

By meeting these criteria, the PP ensures that all legal and regulatory requirements for the project are satisfied, enabling the project to proceed without hindrance.

Nowadays, the treatment of water occupies a predominant place in modern industries. Moreover, treatment of water is required for various purposes, from potable use to industrial applications such as food, beverages, leather, textiles and heavy chemical industries. Also, the treatment of wastewater especially effluent from toxic disposals attracts attention nowadays due to increase global awareness of ecological & environmental protection.

Treatment of water implicitly means changing its physical & mainly its chemical properties by removing undesirable suspended & dissolved impurities of both organic & inorganic nature. The level of chemical dosing involved, and method adopted for treatment are according to the end consumers requirement as well as the nature of composition of raw water sources, which are all mainly from surface wells, lakes, rivers or from underground sources such as deep wells in some places from sea.

If the wastewater is released into the environment without proper treatment, it can cause severe pollution, affecting aquatic life, soil quality, and human health. Therefore, this project activity helps reduce the environmental impact by treating wastewater and recirculating it back to the textile industries which minimizes their dependency on fresh water sources, further promoting sustainability.

The project activity qualifies under the UWR RoU program since the PP has undertaken water conservation measures to recycle and reuse Industrial wastewater. Industrial Wastewater is a highly potential source of water for various purposes and is highly underutilized in the country. All the water quality reports are in line with the Punjab Pollution Control Board.

The current monitoring period is from 01/12/2018 to 31/12/2024 and the RoU's generated by the project activity in this monitoring period are 573,523 RoU's.

#### **Scope of Verification**

The scope of the services for the project is to perform Project Verification of concerned Project Activity. The scope of verification is to assess the claims and assumptions made in the Project Concept Note & Monitoring Report (PCNMR) against the UWR criteria, including but not limited to, UWR program verification guidance document, UWR Standard, UWR Program Manual, and related rules and guidelines established under Program process.

#### **Verification Process and Methodology**

The verification process was undertaken by a competent verification team and involved the following,

- Desk review of documents and evidence submitted in context of the reference rules and guidelines issued by UWR,
- Undertaking/conducting site visit/remote audit, interview or interactions with the representative of the project owners/representatives,

- Reporting audit findings with respect to clarifications and non-conformities and the closure of the findings, as appropriate and preparing a draft verification opinion based on the auditing findings and conclusions
- Finalization of the verification opinion (this report)

#### **Desk/Document review**

A detailed desk review of the PCNMR, Methodology and all other associated documentation and references took place in advance of the remote site visit, and additional documents that were not available for the desk review were requested for review during the remote site visit. Additional information can be required to complete the verification, which may be obtained from other public and reliable sources or through telephone and face to face interviews with key stakeholders (including the project developers and where necessary, government and NGO representatives in the host country).

A list of all documents reviewed or referred to in the course of this verification is included below in Appendix 3.

#### Follow up interviews/site visit

The verifier conducted remote audit and had requested for site photographs, short videos. A remote interview was conducted with the project owners and stakeholders.

#### Conclusion

Based on the work performed, the verifier concludes that in the project "Initiative for waste water recycle and reuse by ST COTTEX EXPORTS PRIVATE LIMITED", the information and data presented in the PCNMR dated 17/04/2025 meets all relevant requirements of the UWR for UWR project activities.

For the current monitoring period, verified RoU's achieved by the project activity were as below;

Start date of monitoring period	01/12/2018
End date of monitoring period	31/12/2024
RoU's achieved	573,523 RoU's

## Project Verification team, technical reviewer and approver

#### **Project Verification team**

No.	Role	Last	First	Affiliation	Involvement in		
		name	name	(e.g. name of central or other office of UWR Project Verifier or outsourced entity)	Document review	Off-Site inspection	Interviews

1.	Team Leader/ Technical Expert	Singh	Ritu	Enviance Services Private Limited	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Validator- Verifier	Mahajan	Swati	Enviance Services Private Limited	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	V-V Trainee/ Technical Expert in Trainee	Shastri	Prakhar	Enviance Services Private Limited	Yes	Yes	Yes

#### **Technical reviewer and approver of the Project Verification report**

No.	Role	Type of resourc e	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of UWR Project Verifier oroutsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer	Internal	Kumar	Mr. Pankaj	Enviance Services Private Limited
2.	Approver	Internal	Muraikrishna	Vidhya	Enviance Services Private Limited

## **Means of Project Verification**

#### Desk/document review

A detailed desk review of the PCNMR, methodology and all other associated documentation and references took place in advance of the remote audit, and additional documents that were not available for the desk review were requested for review during the remote audit. Additional information can be required to complete the verification, which may be obtained from other public and reliable sources or through telephone and face-to face interviews with key stakeholders (including the project developers and where necessary, Government and NGO representatives in the host country).

A list of all documents reviewed or referred to in the course of this verification is included in Appendix 3 below.

#### **Off-site inspection**

	ate of off-site inspection 15/04/2025			
No. Activity performed Off-Site		Site location	Date	
1.	a) An assess	ment of the implementation and	Machhiwara, Ludhiana, Punjab,	15/04/2025

	T	T
operation of the project activity as per the	India	
PCNMR and UWR requirements		
b) Verification of the project design, as		
documented is sound and reasonable, and		
meets the identifiedcriteria of UWR Standard		
Requirements and associated guidance		
c) Assessment to conformance with the		
certification criteria as laid out in the UWR		
Standards;		
d) Evaluation of the conformance with the		
certification scope, including the water		
project and baseline scenarios, additionality;		
scopes of water project; and the physical		
infrastructure, activities, technologies and		
processes of the water project to the		
requirementsof the UWR;		
e) Evaluation of the calculation of RoU's,		
including the correctness and transparency		
of formulae and factors used; assumptions		
related to estimating RoU's.		
f) Review of information flows for generating,		
aggregating and reporting of the parameters		
to bemonitored		
g) To confirm that the operational and data		
collection procedures can be implemented in		
accordancewith the Monitoring Plan		
h) Cross-check of information provided in the		
submitted documents and data from other		
sources available at site		
i) Review of calculations and assumptions		
made in determining RoU's, and an		
identification of QA/QC procedures in place		
to prevent, or identify and correct, any		
errors or omissions in the reported		
monitoring parameters		
j) Interviews of local Stakeholders		
	•	

#### **Interviews**

No.		Interview			
	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	subject
1.	-	Mohan	ST Cottex	15/04/2025	Project
					Implementation,
2.	Kohli	Dhruv	Viviid emissions		Monitoring plan,
			reductions universal		Project Boundary,
			private Ltd		Eligibility criteria,
3.	Sachan	Aditya	Local stakeholders		Host country
4.	Verma	R.K.			requirements, RoU
					calculations Project
					implementation,

monitoring, stakeholder	Local

# Clarification request (CLs), corrective action request (CARs) and forward action request (FARs) raised

Areas of Project Verification findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Rainwater Offset Units or Water Credi	its (RoU)		
Identification and Eligibility of project type	-	-	-
General description of project activity	03	01	-
Application and selection of methodologies and standardized sets	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Application of RoU methodologies and standardized data sets</li> </ul>	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Deviation from methodology and/or methodological tool</li> </ul>	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Clarification on applicability of methodology, tool and/or standardized data sets</li> </ul>	02	-	-
<ul> <li>Project boundary and unutilized water sources</li> </ul>	1	-	-
<ul> <li>Likely scenario without RoU Project</li> </ul>	-	-	-
<ul><li>Estimation of RoUs</li></ul>	-	-	-
- PCNMR	03	01	-
Start date, crediting period and duration	-	01	-
Positive environmental impacts on water table and/or groundwater	-	-	-
recharge and/or water security in the area			
Project Owner- Identification and communication	-	-	-
Others (please specify)	-	-	-
Total	80	03	-

# **Project Verification findings**

## Identification and eligibility of project type (Approved Project Activities (Positive List))

Means of Project Verification	The project is an effluent treatment plant with installed treatment capacity of 1000 m³/day (1 MLD). The operational capacity of the plant capacity is 650 m³/day (0.65 MLD); however, due to operational variability, actual daily output may occasionally be lower.  This is confirmed based on the commissioning certificate, operational capacity document and technical specifications.  Since the project is a effluent treatment plant which recycles and reuses industrial wastewater it comes under scope 5 project as per UWR Rainwater (RoU) Standard, version 7.0 (https://a23e347601d72166dcd6-16da518ed3035d35cf0439f1cdf449c9.ssl.cf2.rackcdn.com//Documents/RainWaterOffsetStandardver7_130824144129526582.pdf)  The Project owner has used valid PCNMR form available at the UWR website for the preparation of PCNMR for the current project activity. The project has prepared PCNMR in line with UWR guidance and requirements.
Findings	No findings raised

Conclusion	The UWR-approved format is used for description and the project meets the requirement of the UWR RoU verification standard version 2.0 and UWR RoU standard version 7.0. UWR project communication agreement was submitted to the verifier and the same has been verified. Methodology referenced and applied appropriately describing the project type. The eligibility of the project aggregator is verified using the UWR communication agreement, project correctly applies the verification standard, UWR project standard, and UWR regulations. The project activity is overall meeting the requirements of the UWR Verification standard and
	UWR project standard.

# **General description of project activity**

Means of Project Verification	The project is an effluent treatment plant with installed treatment capacity of 1000 m³/day (1 MLD). The operational capacity of the plant is 650 m³/day (0.65 MLD); however, due to operational variability, actual daily output may occasionally be lower and its commissioning date is verified through the commissioning certificate of the project. The documents confirm the treatment of wastewater from the textile units in this project.  Assessment team conducted documentation review of the PCNMR against the UWR RoU verification standard version 2.0 and UWR RoU standard version 7.0 and the UWR-PCNMR-FORM Version 3.0.  By checking the supporting documents, it is confirmed that the project is an effluent treatment plant, the project is located in Machhiwara, Ludhiana, Punjab State, India  The approximate geo-coordinates of the project locations are mentioned below.  Plant Treatment Capacity (m³/d) Geo co-ordinates of Location  Installed capacity = 1000 m³/d (1 MLD) Geo co-ordinates of Location  Operational capacity = 650 m³/d (0.65 MLD) 2.Machhiwara – Unit 12:  30°54'25.5"N & 76°09'03.0"E			
	Assessment team performed an offsite inspection of project and confirmed that the location described in the PCNMR are accurate.			
Findings	CL 01, CL 07, CL 08 and CAR 03 were raised and closed successfully. More information presented appendix below.			
Conclusion	The description of the project activity is verified to be true based on the review of PCNMR, Commissioning Certificate and other submitted documents.			

## Application and selection of water data and calculation parameters

Means of Project Verification	Verification criteria are as per the requirements of UWR RoU program for the scope – 5.  For applicability mentioned in the PCNMR, commissioning certificates, DPR, technical specifications, flow meter data were checked.
Findings	No findings raised.
Conclusion	The project has effectively implemented the water treatment unit following the guidelines of UWR RoU standards by recycling and reusing the industrial wastewater and has a positive impact of local hydrology and community water resources.

## Clarification on applicability of tool and/or RoU estimates

Means of Project Verification	The documents reviewed are ETP basics, ensuring proper operation		
	of flow meters, RoU estimates by reviewing the flow details, UWR		
	RoU standard, and UWR RoU Verification Standard.		
Findings	CL 03 and CL 05 were raised and closed successfully. More		
	information presented appendix below.		
Conclusion	The verification team confirms that all the applicability criteria set by		
	the UWR RoU standard are met. The relevant information against		
	those criteria is also included in the PCNMR. The selected scope for		
	the project activity is applicable.		

## **Project boundary, sources and RoUs**

Means of Project Verification	Conducting remote inspections of the project site to assess the effluent treatment plant setup and its integration with the S.T. Cottex Exports Pvt. Ltd.  Document Review: Examining the project's documentation, including permits, ownership documents, flow details.		
Findings	No findings raised		
Conclusion	The project boundary is correctly defined in the PCNMR. The ETP is the project boundary in this project which treats the industrial wastewater by enhancing the water conservation and sustainability of the local water reserves.		

## Baseline scenario of the water shed or activity prior to project commissioning

Means of Project Verification	As per the UWR scope 5 project the baseline scenario is as following: "The net quantity of treated ETP effluent / wastewater that would be discharged directly into the local drain/sewer without further being recycled and/or reused daily post treatment per year" Remote audit conducted and document review showed that in absence of the project activity, the waste water would have been directly discharged in the sewer without treating it and further contaminating the local water reserves.
Findings	No findings raised
Conclusion	The approved baseline methodology has been correctly applied to identify a realistic and credible baseline scenario, and the identified baseline scenario most reasonably represents what would occur in the absence of the proposed UWR project activity.

All the assumption and data used by the project participants are listed in the PCNMR and/or supporting documents. All documentation relevant for establishing the baseline scenario are correctly quoted and interpreted in the PCNMR. Assumptions and data used in the identification of the baseline scenario are justified appropriately, supported by evidence and can be deemed reasonable.

#### **Implementation Benefits to Water Security**

Means of Project Verification	Examining the PCNMR, commissioning certificate, legal documentation and any other relevant documentation. By conducting interviews with the project proponent, owners. By assessing the water quality reports, as well as the impact of untreated water on local water reserves and quality testing was conducted by analyzing the quality of water post treatment.
Findings	No findings raised.
Conclusion	The Implementation Benefits to Water Security emphasizes the significant positive impact in safeguarding aquatic ecosystem in Sutlej River Basin and soil health. The project successfully significantly reduces the reliance on groundwater, a precious natural resource. By minimizing the demand for fresh water, Initiative for waste water recycle and reuse by ST COTTEX EXPORTS PRIVATE LIMITED can contribute to water conservation efforts and alleviate pressure on depleting aquifers. Overall, the project demonstrates effective strategies for reducing captive water consumption and responsibly managing groundwater, the project hopes to foster a broader adoption of environmentally responsible approaches within the industry.

#### Estimation of RoUs or net water saved/recycled/reused

Means of Project Verification	Remote inspection of the ETP unit to ensure it matches the project documentation. Examination of PCNMR, Commissioning Certificate, Project plan was carried out and other relevant documentation provided by the project proponent. Measurement Verification was carried out by checking the flow details.  The net quantity of treated water used is measured via flow meters installed at the site. RoUs are calculated based on total quantity of treated water being recycled & reused.  RoU's achieved during the first monitoring period which is from 01/12/2018 to 31/12/2024 as per the Project Activity:
	Pollo with Uncortainty

Year	STP outlet	STP Reuse	RoUs with Uncertainty Factor of 0.98 (Rounded Down)
2018	7945	5623	5510
2019	94365	66896	65552
2020	95350	69827	68426
2021	102294	73117	71650
2022	124129	87108	85360
2023	182654	139127	136338

	2024	204586	143565	140687
	Total		5,73,523	
Findings	The project is an ETP plant means the water budget component is surface inflow. According to the RoU Standard version 7, PP has accounted 1% each as the uncertainty factor in inflow and outflow volumes to remain conservative. Therefore, an uncertainty factor of 0.98 is applied to all ROUs.  No findings raised.			
Conclusion	In summary, the calculation of RoU's was correctly demonstrated by the PP.			
	It is confirme	ed by the assess	ment team tha	at:
	For the estimation of Rainwater Offset Units (RoUs) or net water saved/recycled/reused at Initiative for waste water recycle and reuse by ST COTTEX EXPORTS PRIVATE LIMITED, would highlight the successful implementation of a project activity that has effectively treated the industrial wastewater. The quantification tools and calculations detailed in the document indicate a total of 573,523 RoUs (1000 liters each) were collected over the monitoring period from 01/12/2018 to 31/12/2024. This initiative not only treated a significant amount of wastewater that would have otherwise gone untreated but also contributed to the improvement of Sutlej River Basin water quality, demonstrating the project's positive impact on water security and sustainability in the region. The project serves as a model for similar industrial areas, showcasing the benefits of treating wastewater in enhancing in safeguarding the water quality of local water reserves.			

# **PCN+Monitoring Report**

Means of Project Verification	Conducting off-site audit to verify the implementation and operation of the ETP. Examining all relevant documents, such as permits, ownership papers, and maintenance records of the ETP. Talking to the project proponent about the operation of the unit. Checking the accuracy of reported data, such as the flow details, flow meter details, treated water details and by evaluating the design and technical aspects of the ETP to ensure it aligns with the UWR RoU Standard principles.
Findings	CL 02, CL 04, CL 06 and CAR 02 were raised and closed successfully. More information presented appendix below.
Conclusion	The verification team is convinced of compliance of the monitoring plan. During the remote audit assessment, the verification team interviewed the PP that the monitoring arrangements described in the monitoring plan are feasible within the project design.
	The monitoring parameter reported in PCNMR adequately represents the parameters relevant to RoU calculation. The calibration report ensures the accuracy of the data reported. The number of RoU's generation is calculated based on this accurately reported data. The calculation was done using an excel sheet where all the parameters were reported. In the PCNMR RoU calculations are correctly calculated and reported. The PCNMR meets the requirements of UWR project verification requirements.

## **National Water Security Index**

Means of Project Verification	As per UWR RoU standard version 7.0 all projects RoU methodology are ideally below the NWS score of 60 and NWSI equal or lower than 2 (NWSI ≤ 2). India's NWS score is below 60. This index is considered in establishing and implementing policies for sustainable water and groundwater development. As mentioned in the PCNMR, commissioning certificate and DPR this project is not a groundwater restoration project. It is an effluent treatment unit.
Findings	No findings raised
Conclusion	The verification team on assessment concluded that the project is an industrial wastewater recycle and reuse project and not a groundwater restoration project. Hence, national water security index is not applicable in this project.

## Start date, crediting period and duration

Means of Project Verification	The start date and crediting period of project activity was checked based on the commissioning certificate, PCNMR and other documents provided.				
Findings	CAR 01 was raised and closed successfully. More information presented appendix below.				
Conclusion					
Conclusion	The project has chosen crediting period start date as 01/12/2018. The crediting period is chosen as 01/12/2018 to 31/12/2024.				

## **Positive Environmental impacts**

Means of Project Verification	PP has not claimed any separate positive environmental impact. The project being industrial wastewater treatment unit will reduce the further contamination of the local water reserves.
Findings	No findings raised
Conclusion	The project is a wastewater recycle/reuse project and reduces the further contamination of groundwater and local water reserves.

## **Project Owner- Identification and communication**

Means of Project Verification	PCNMR, communication agreement, commissioning certificate.				
Findings	No findings raised				
Conclusion	The project owner was identified through a communication agreement signed between project owner and project aggregator. Commissioning certificate was also verified and they clearly establish the project ownership. The identification and communication correctly meet the requirement of project verification and UWR project standard.				
	Project owner: S.T. Cottex Exports Pvt. Ltd				

## **Positive Social Impact/Ecological Aspects/Recharge Aspects**

Means of Project Verification	Project has provided temporary employment to local people during				
	its installation and commissioning. Also post commissioning some of				
	people have employed permanently and local people were engaged				
	leading to social financial benefit to surrounding. Overall social				

	impact of project implementation is positive on the surrounding area.
	Also, The PP has showcased the successful wastewater treatment of industrial effluent, thus saving millions of liters of wastewater from the textile units.
	The project activity showcases best-in-class wastewater treatment technology that can replace the equivalent freshwater and industrial demand in different sectors for nonportable purposes while reducing the proportion of untreated wastewater and substantially increasing recycling and safe reuse in India.
Findings	No findings raised.
Conclusion	Project has overall social positive impact and ecological positive impact

#### Sustainable development aspects

# **Internal quality control**

The verifier confirms that,

- Due professional care has been taken while reviewing the submitted document.
- There is no conflict of interest as the verifier has no other engagement with either the aggregatoror project owner directly or indirectly.
- Verification team consists of experienced personnel.

## **Project Verification opinion**

Assessment team conducted documentation review the PCNMR against the UWR RoU verification standard version 2.0 and UWR RoU standard version 7.0 and the UWR-PCNMR FORM Version 3.0.

It is confirmed that the project activity is an industrial effluent treatment plant, that is located in Machhiwara, Ludhiana, Punjab, India.

The geo co-ordinates of the plant have been mentioned in sections above. Assessment team performed an offsite audit and confirmed that the location described in the PCNMR is accurate. The verification was performed on the basis of UWR requirements, and host country criteria, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases:

- i) Desk review of the PCNMR and additional background documents;
- ii) Follow-up interviews with project stakeholders;
- iii) Resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The project correctly applies the approved baseline and monitoring methodology.

The monitoring plan provides for the monitoring of the project's Rainwater Offset Unit (RoU) calculations. The monitoring arrangements described in the monitoring plan are feasible within the project design, and the project participants are able to implement the monitoring plan. Given that the project is implemented and maintained as designed, the project has achieved the RoU's of 573,523 RoU during the monitoring period i.e. from 01/12/2018 to 31/12/2024.

The review of the project design documentation and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided assessment team with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria. In our opinion, the project meets all applicable UWR requirements. Assessment team thus requests the registration of the proposed UWR project activity.

# **Appendix 1. Abbreviations**

Abbreviations	Full texts
UWR	Universal Water Registry
PCNMR	Project Concept Note and Monitoring Report
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CL	Clarification Request
ETP	Effluent Treatment Plant
CETP	Common Effluent Treatment Plant
RoU	Rainwater Offset Unit
DPR	Detailed Project Report

#### **Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers**

- ❖ Ms. Ritu Singh has done Masters in Environmental Science from Central University of South Bihar, Gaya and bachelor of Science in Zoology from Magadh Mahila College, Patna University, India. She has done Masters' research focused on solid waste management during and post covid-19 pandemic and conducted a survey in Medical Colleges of Bihar to study the trends of waste management. She has more than 2 year working experience in True Quality Certifications Pvt. Ltd. (An outsource entity for LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Spain) "Applus+ Certification") and has been involved in supporting Audit teams for Validation and Verifications of Project Activities (Renewable and non-Renewable projects) under CDM/VCS/GS4GG/GCC programs. Currently, Ritu is engaged as an internal resource with Enviance Services Private Limited, where she is accredited as a Lead Auditor, Validator, Verifier, and Technical Expert for Sectoral Scope/Technical Area 1.2 by Enviance.
- ❖ Ms. Swati Mahajan is graduate in Environmental Engineering from Shivaji University, India and previously worked as an Environment Engineer at Eco Designs India Private Ltd., Pune. She is adept in designing of landfill sites for solid waste management. She also has hands on experience in cost benefit analysis and preparation of DPRs for SWM projects. She also has done a certified course in carbon capture and storage from Edinburg University. Currently working as GHG assessor for projects under various GHG mechanisms like GCC, ICR, UCR and VERRA.
- Mr. Pankaj Kumar worked as team leader Bihar for South Asia Climate Proofing and Growth Development (CPGD) - Climate Change Innovation Programme (CCIP) supported by DFID that seeks to mainstream climate change resilience into planning and budgeting at the national and sub-national level in India, Pakistan, Nepal, and Afghanistan. Pankai Kumar has worked previously with IL&FS Infrastructure Development Corporation and BUIDCO (Bihar Urban Infrastructure Development Corporation), Govt. of Bihar as Environmental Specialist for WB & ADB funded projects. Prior to this, he worked with Carbon Check (UNFCCC accredited DoE), Johannesburg, RSA, Applus certification as Team Leader for validation, verification of around 100 GHG projects in Asia, Africa, USA, Asia Pacific & Americas. Pankaj is accredited Lead Auditor, Validator, Verifier and Technical Expert for Sectoral Scope/Technical Area – 1.1, 1.2, 3.1, 4.1, 13.1 by Enviance. He is also member of task force on climate change & human health, Health Department, GoB and on roster of UNICEF's WASH experts. He is an experienced, qualified and result oriented Environment Professional having more than 14 yrs. of relevant experience in Climate Change (Mitigation & Adaptation), Environmental Due Diligence, Disaster Risk Reduction, Validation and Verification of GHG project under CDM, Verified Carbon Standard, Gold Standard & Social Carbon Standard, Brazil. He provides technical support for environmental investigative, consultative and remedial projects involving air, water and soil, Waste management, EIA, Environmental Compliance, ISO 14001, OHSAS 18001, GHG accounting (ISO 14064) and Carbon foot printing. Pankaj Kumar is Masters in Environment Management from Forest Research Institute (University), I.C.F.R.E, Dehradun, which is Centre of Excellence in South East Asia for Forestry education & research and PGDEL from National Law School of India University, Bangalore (India).

❖ Mr. Prakhar Shastri has done Bachelor of Technology in Electronic Communication Engineering from Medicaps University, Indore. Currently, He is working in Enviance Services Private Limited and has been involved in supporting Audit teams for Verifications of Project Activities (Renewable and non-Renewable projects) under various registries like GCC.

# **Appendix 3. Document reviewed or referenced**

No.	Author	Title	References tothe document	Provider
1	NA	Communication agreement		Project Owner
2	NA	Project Concept Note and Monitoring Report		Aggregator
3	NA	RoU Calculation sheet		Aggregator
4	NA	Declaration on avoidance of doublecounting		Aggregator
5	NA	Commissioning Certificates for the ETP		Aggregator
6	NA	Water flow details/log book details for thecomplete monitoring period		Aggregator
7	NA	Calibration certificates for water meters		Aggregator
8	UWR	UWR RoU Program manual version 2.0 UWR RoU standard version 7.0 UWR RoU Verification standard version 2 UWR terms and conditions		Universal Water Registry

# Clarification request, corrective action request and forward action request

Table 1. CLs from this Project Verification

Classification	☐ CAR ☐ CL/CR ☐ F	AR	Number:		01	
Raised by:	Ms. Ritu Singh		Document		PCNMR	
			Reference			
Finding Descri	ption		Date:		16/04/2025	
In the cover pag	je, PP to provide the weblink for UNDF	Human Deve	lopment Indica	ator.		
Client/Respons	sible Party/Project Proponent Respo	nse	Date:		22/04/2025	
PP has updated	link accordingly					
Validation/Veri	fication Team Assessment		Date:		10/05/2025	
In the cover page	ge, PP has provided the weblink for U	NDP Human	Development	Indicator a	as a footnote.	
On assessment	it was found consistent in PCNMR. He	ence, CL 01 is	closed.			
_						
Classification	☐ CAR ☐ CL/CR ☐ FAR	Number:		02		
Raised by:	Ms. Ritu Singh	Document R	Reference	PCNMR	PCNMR	
Finding Descri	ption	Date:	Date: 16/04/2		25	
In Section	on A.1 of the PCNMR, PP shall include	the Google E	arth link for de	emonstrate	e its exact	
	A.2 does not align with PCNMR Temp to ensure consistency with the templat		. PP needs to	review an	d revise the	
3. As discu	3. As discussed during the remote audit, PP to include the installed and operational capacity of the					

plant	n the PCNMR, along	with the plant's co	mmissioni	ng date.	
500 k					tes that two STPs of detailed explanation of
5. PP is	required to mention t	he use of reject wa	ater and tre	eated water in the F	PCNMR.
Client/Respo	nsible Party/Project		Date:		22/04/2025
Response 1. In Se	ction A 1 of the PCNI	AR PP has imbedd	ded the Go	ogle Farth link to d	demonstrate its exact
locati		virt, i i ilas imbedi	ded the Oc	ogie Latiti litik to c	iemonstrate its exact
2. PP ha	s updated the Section	n A.2			
3. PP ha	s updated according	ly.			
4. PP ha	s updated According	lly			
5. PP ha	s updated the details	s of the use of rejec	ct water an	d treated water in t	he PCNMR.
Validation/Ve	rification Team Ass	sessment	Date:		10/05/2025
template vers		ncluded the details	of capaci	ties of the project a	
Classification	n	CL/CR	FAR	Number:	03
		CL/CR	FAR		
Raised by:	Ms. Ritu Singh	CL/CR	FAR	Document Refere	ence PCNMR
Raised by: Finding Desc	Ms. Ritu Singh			Document Refere	PCNMR 16/04/2025
Raised by: Finding Description  1. PP shin PC 2. PP sh	Ms. Ritu Singh ription all submit the list of contents NMR. all submit the technic	chemicals used in t	he treatme	Document Reference  Date:  ent process and the	PCNMR 16/04/2025 e same shall be added
Raised by: Finding Description  1. PP sh in PC 2. PP sh added	Ms. Ritu Singh  ription  all submit the list of community of the community	chemicals used in t	he treatme	Document Reference  Date:  ent process and the	PCNMR 16/04/2025 e same shall be added
Raised by: Finding Description  1. PP shall in PC 2. PP shadded Client/Respo	Ms. Ritu Singh ription all submit the list of control NMR. all submit the technical in PCNMR.	chemicals used in t cal specifications o	he treatme f RO and it	Document Reference Date: ent process and the ent's membranes and Date:	PCNMR 16/04/2025 e same shall be added the same shall be 22/04/2025
Raised by: Finding Description  1. PP sh in PC 2. PP sh added Client/Respo	Ms. Ritu Singh ription all submit the list of control NMR. all submit the technication PCNMR. In Sible Party/Project	chemicals used in t cal specifications o : <b>Proponent Resp</b> ectingly and has sub	he treatme f RO and it	Document Reference Date: ent process and the ent's membranes and Date:	PCNMR 16/04/2025 e same shall be added the same shall be 22/04/2025
Raised by: Finding Description  1. PP shanded 2. PP shanded Client/Respo PP has updat  Validation/Ve PP has submoof RO. On as	Ms. Ritu Singh  ription  all submit the list of condition all submit the technical in PCNMR.  nsible Party/Projected the PCNMR according to the PCNMR according to the period the list of chemical in	chemicals used in total specifications of the Proponent Respondingly and has subsessment cals used in the tredified that PP has a	he treatment from the consecutive of the consecutiv	Document Reference Date: ent process and the et's membranes and Date: required document  Date: ocess and also the same in updated for	PCNMR 16/04/2025 e same shall be added the same shall be 22/04/2025 etechnical specifications PCNMR and the added
Raised by: Finding Description  1. PP shin PC 2. PP shin added Client/Respo PP has updat  Validation/Ve PP has submof RO. On as information is	Ms. Ritu Singh  ription  all submit the list of one of the PCNMR.  all submit the technical in PCNMR.  nsible Party/Projected the PCNMR accordated the list of chemical sessment it was verifound consistent with	chemicals used in tocal specifications of the Proponent Respondingly and has subsected used in the treatment of the submitted documents.	he treatment f RO and it onse omitted the atment produced the cuments.	Document Reference Date: ent process and the et's membranes and Date: required document Date: ocess and also the same in updated in lence, CL 03 is close	PCNMR 16/04/2025 e same shall be added the same shall be 22/04/2025 ets 10/05/2025 technical specifications PCNMR and the added sed.
Raised by: Finding Description  1. PP shanded 2. PP shanded Client/Respo PP has updat  Validation/Ve PP has submoof RO. On as	Ms. Ritu Singh  ription  all submit the list of one of the PCNMR.  all submit the technical in PCNMR.  nsible Party/Projected the PCNMR accordated the list of chemical sessment it was verifound consistent with	chemicals used in total specifications of the Proponent Respondingly and has subsessment cals used in the treating that PP has an the submitted documents.	he treatment from the consecutive of the consecutiv	Document Reference Date: ent process and the et's membranes and Date: required document  Date: ocess and also the same in updated for	PCNMR 16/04/2025 e same shall be added the same shall be 22/04/2025 etechnical specifications PCNMR and the added
Raised by: Finding Description  1. PP shin PC 2. PP shin added Client/Respo PP has updat  Validation/Ve PP has submof RO. On as information is	Ms. Ritu Singh  ription  all submit the list of one of the PCNMR.  all submit the technical in PCNMR.  nsible Party/Projected the PCNMR accordated the list of chemical sessment it was verifound consistent with	chemicals used in tocal specifications of the Proponent Respondingly and has subsected used in the treatment of the submitted documents.	he treatment f RO and it onse omitted the atment produced the cuments.	Document Reference Date: ent process and the et's membranes and Date: required document Date: ocess and also the same in updated in lence, CL 03 is close	PCNMR 16/04/2025 e same shall be added the same shall be 22/04/2025 ets 10/05/2025 technical specifications PCNMR and the added sed.
Raised by: Finding Description  1. PP shand per shaded of the shaded of	Ms. Ritu Singh  ription  all submit the list of condition of the list of condition of the property of the property of the property of the list of chemical sessment it was verification to the property of the property of the list of chemical sessment it was verification of the property o	chemicals used in tocal specifications of the Proponent Respondingly and has subsected used in the treatment of the submitted documents.	he treatment f RO and it onse omitted the atment produced the cuments.	Document Reference Date:  ent process and the Es membranes and Date: required document  Date: ocess and also the same in updated fence, CL 03 is close  Number: Document	PCNMR 16/04/2025 e same shall be added the same shall be 22/04/2025 ets 10/05/2025 technical specifications PCNMR and the added sed.  04
Raised by: Finding Description  1. PP shanded in PC 2. PP shadded Client/Respo PP has updat  Validation/Ve PP has submof RO. On as information is  Classification Raised by: Finding Description	Ms. Ritu Singh  ription  all submit the list of conditions and submit the technical in PCNMR.  Insible Party/Projected the PCNMR according to the PCNMR according to the property of the prope	chemicals used in tocal specifications of the Proponent Respondingly and has subsessment cals used in the tredified that PP has an the submitted docals.	he treatment from the comments of the comments. He comments of the comments of	Date:  Date:  Tent process and the dismembranes and disme	ence PCNMR 16/04/2025 e same shall be added the same shall be 22/04/2025 etechnical specifications PCNMR and the added sed.  04 PCNMR

Client/Responsible Party/Project Proponent Response Date: 22/04/2025						
Client/Responsible Party/Project Proponent Response Date:					e.	22/04/2025
PP has updated the PCNMR accordingly						
Validation/Verification Team Assessment			Dat	e:	10/05/2025	
	During assessment it was found that, PP has explained the non-applicability of sections A.3, A.4, A.5, A.6 and A.12. It was verified in updated PCNMR. Hence, CL 04 is closed.					A.3, A.4, A.5, A.6
						T.=
Classification	☐ CAR	⊠ CL/CR	☐ FAR	N	lumber:	05
Raised by:	Ms. Ritu Singh				ocument	PCNMR
Finding Description				eference ate:	16/04/2025	
1 manig besch	ption				aic.	10/04/2023
informa	information for this section.					ry and complete
2. Why the	SDG goals has	been included	in section A.9. Cl	arifica	ation required.	
Client/Respons	sible Party/Proje	ect Proponent	Response	D	ate:	22/04/2025
PP has updated the PCNMR accordingly						
Validation/Veri	fication Team A	ssessment		D	ate:	10/05/2025
<ol> <li>PP has updated the section A.9. and during assessment it was verified in updated PCNMR.</li> <li>As suggested PP has now mentioned SDG goals in section A.11 which is the right section as per PCNMR template version 3.0. On assessment it was found consistent in updated PCNMR. Hence, CL 05 is closed.</li> </ol>						
Classification	☐ CAR	⊠ CL/CR	☐ FAR		Number:	06
Raised by:	Ms. Ritu Singl	1			Document Reference	PCNMR
Finding Description				Date:	16/04/2025	
Section A.10 does not align with PCNMR Template Version 3. PP s review and revise the section to ensure consistency with the template.						
Client/Responsible Party/Project Proponent Response				Date:	22/04/2025	
PP has updated the PCNMR accordingly						
Validation/Verification Team Assessment				Date:	10/05/2025	
As per PCNMR template version 3, PP has revised Section A.10. On assessment it was found consistent with the template. Hence, CL 06 is closed.						
Classification	☐ CAR	⊠ CL/CR	☐ FAR	Num	hor:	07
Raised by: Ms. Ritu Singh					PCNMR	
Finding Description			Date: 16/04/2		16/04/2025	
<ol> <li>As per PCNMR PP has claimed SDG goal 8. PP shall submit the supporting document showing employment details.</li> </ol>						
PP to provide the training records for this project.						

3. As per the audit, the STP was installed in 2013 but became operational in 2018. PP is required to					
include this detail in the PCNMR.  Client/Responsible Party/Project Proponent Response				Date:	22/04/2025
-		-		uired documents	22/04/2023
FF IIas upuated	I IIIE FOINIVIIX ac	Columbiy and p	Movided the req	ulleu documents	
Validation/Verification Team Assessment Date: 10/05/202					10/05/2025
	<ol> <li>PP has claimed SDG goal 8 and has submitted the supporting document and on assessment it was found that the document ensures the consistency.</li> </ol>				
	provided the tra	iining records fo	or this project ac	tivity and the same has b	en verified by the
<ol> <li>PP has incorporated the installation and operational details of STP in PCNMR and the same has been verified in updated PCNMR.</li> </ol>					
Hence, CL	07 is closed.				
2: 10: -1:		N 2: /2D			
Classification	│	⊠ CL/CR	∐ FAR	Number:	08
Raised by:	Ms. Ritu Sing	h		Document Reference	PCNMR
Finding Descri	ption			Date:	16/04/2025
PP needs to submit an undertaking for no double counting for current monitoring period and for					
project	activity has neith	ner been registe	ered nor seeking	g registration under any o	
or sustainable development programs.					
PP to Submit consent letter from SPCB.					
2. PP to S	ubmit consent le	etter from SPCE	3.		
Client/Respons	sible Party/Proj	ect Proponent		Date:	22/04/2025
	sible Party/Proj	ect Proponent		Date:	22/04/2025
Client/Respons	sible Party/Proj d the required do	ect Proponent ocuments		Date:	22/04/2025
PP has provided  Validation/Veri  1. PP has	d the required do fication Team / submitted the	ect Proponent ocuments Assessment undertaking for	Response no double cou	Date: nting for current monitor	10/05/2025 ing period and on
Client/Respons PP has provided  Validation/Veri  1. PP has verificate	d the required do fication Team A submitted the ion it was fou	ect Proponent ocuments  Assessment undertaking for nd that the p	Response  no double couroject activity I	Date: nting for current monitor nas neither been regist	10/05/2025 ring period and on the red nor seeking
Validation/Veri  1. PP has verificate registra	d the required do fication Team A submitted the tion it was fou tion under any c	ect Proponent ocuments  Assessment undertaking for nd that the p other water regis	no double couroject activity I	Date: nting for current monitor	10/05/2025 ring period and on tered nor seeking s.
Validation/Veri  1. PP has verificate registra 2. PP has and four	d the required do fication Team A submitted the tion it was four tion under any consumpted the condition to consistent with the condition to t	Assessment undertaking for nd that the p other water regis	no double couroject activity I stry or sustainate om SPCB and	Date: nting for current monitor nas neither been regist ble development program	10/05/2025 ring period and on tered nor seeking s.
Validation/Veri  1. PP has verificate registra 2. PP has and four	d the required do fication Team A submitted the tion it was four tion under any consultation the consultation to the consultation that the consultation tha	Assessment undertaking for nd that the p other water regis	no double couroject activity I stry or sustainate om SPCB and	Date: nting for current monitor nas neither been regist ble development program	10/05/2025 ring period and on tered nor seeking s.
Validation/Veri  1. PP has verificate registra 2. PP has and four	d the required do the required do the required do submitted the cion it was four tion under any consubmitted the condition consistent with the con	Assessment undertaking for nd that the p other water regis consent letter fr	no double couroject activity I stry or sustainate om SPCB and	Date: nting for current monitor nas neither been regist ble development program	10/05/2025 ring period and on tered nor seeking s.
Validation/Veri  1. PP has verificate registra 2. PP has and fou Hence, CL of Classification	d the required do fication Team of submitted the sion it was four tion under any condition under any condition the condition that is closed.  The condition of	Assessment undertaking for nd that the pother water registensent letter from the project and t	no double couroject activity I stry or sustainate om SPCB and	Date: nting for current monitor nas neither been regist ble development program	10/05/2025 ring period and on the red nor seeking s. assessment team
Validation/Veri  1. PP has verificate registra 2. PP has and four Hence, CL of Table 2. CARs for the control of	d the required do the required do fication Team of submitted the cion it was four tion under any consumitted the condition consistent with the condition this Project	Assessment undertaking for nd that the pother water registensent letter from the project and t	no double couroject activity I stry or sustainate com SPCB and ctivity.	Date: nting for current monitor has neither been regist ble development program the same verified by the  Number: Document	10/05/2025 ring period and on tered nor seeking s. assessment team
Validation/Veri  1. PP has verificate registra 2. PP has and four Hence, CL of Classification  Raised by:	sible Party/Project In the required do  fication Team A submitted the cion it was four tion under any or submitted the condition consistent with the condition this Project  CAR  Ms. Ritu Sing	Assessment undertaking for nd that the pother water registensent letter from the project and t	no double couroject activity I stry or sustainate com SPCB and ctivity.	Date:  nting for current monitor has neither been regist ble development program the same verified by the  Number:  Document Reference	10/05/2025 ring period and on rered nor seeking s. assessment team  01  PCNMR
Validation/Veri  1. PP has verificate registra 2. PP has and fou Hence, CL of Classification	sible Party/Project In the required do  fication Team A submitted the cion it was four tion under any or submitted the condition consistent with the condition this Project  CAR  Ms. Ritu Sing	Assessment undertaking for nd that the pother water registensent letter from the project and t	no double couroject activity I stry or sustainate com SPCB and ctivity.	Date: nting for current monitor has neither been regist ble development program the same verified by the  Number: Document	10/05/2025 ring period and on the red nor seeking s. assessment team
Validation/Veri  1. PP has verificate registra 2. PP has and four Hence, CL Classification  Raised by:  Finding Descri	sible Party/Project the required do the required do the required do fication Team / submitted the cion it was four tion under any condition under	Assessment undertaking for nd that the pother water regis consent letter fr ith the project a	no double couroject activity hastry or sustainable com SPCB and ctivity.	Date:  nting for current monitor has neither been regist ble development program the same verified by the  Number:  Document Reference	10/05/2025 ring period and on ered nor seeking s. assessment team  01 PCNMR  16/04/2025
Validation/Veri  1. PP has verificate registra 2. PP has and four Hence, CL or Classification  Raised by:  Finding Descri  1. In Section	sible Party/Project the required do the required do the required do the required do the required the submitted the submitted the condition under any condition and consistent with the condition of th	Assessment undertaking for nd that the pother water registed to specific to sp	r no double couroject activity I stry or sustainate om SPCB and ctivity.  FAR  Cify the date of produstrial sectors	Date:  nting for current monitor has neither been regist ole development program the same verified by the  Number:  Document Reference Date:  Dreparation of the PCNMF	10/05/2025  ring period and on rered nor seeking s. assessment team  01  PCNMR  16/04/2025  R. 8 million litres of
Validation/Veri  1. PP has verificate registra 2. PP has and four Hence, CL of Classification  Raised by:  Finding Descri  1. In Section  2. The standard st	sible Party/Project the required do the required do the required do the required do the required the submitted the submitted the condition under any condition and consistent with the condition of th	Assessment undertaking for nd that the pother water registionsent letter frith the project at Verification  CL/CR h required to spect and and and 37% of which	r no double couroject activity I stry or sustainate om SPCB and ctivity.  FAR  Cify the date of produstrial sectors	Date:  nting for current monitor has neither been regist ble development program the same verified by the  Number:  Document Reference Date:	10/05/2025  ring period and on rered nor seeking s. assessment team  01  PCNMR  16/04/2025  R. 8 million litres of

PP has updated the PCNMR accordingly and provided the required documents					
Validation/Verification Team Assessment				Date:	10/05/2025
<ol> <li>On assessment it was verified that in section A.2.1, PP has mentioned the date of preparation of PCNMR and it was verified in updated PCNMR.</li> <li>PP has added the credible citation for the statement, "'With urban and industrial sectors generating over 72,368 million litres of wastewater daily, only 37% of which is currently treated" as a footnote. On verification the added footnote was found as a meaningful resource. Hence, CAR 01 is closed.</li> </ol>					
01 '6' 4'					
Classification	I ⊠ CAR ☐ CL/CR ☐ FAR			Number:	02
Raised by:	Ms. Ritu Singh	1		Document Reference	PCNMR
Finding Descr	iption			Date:	16/04/2025
In sect	on A.8 of PCNMF	<u></u> }-			
<ol> <li>The information provided in Section A.8 of the PCNMR is insufficient as per the requirements of PCNMR Template Version 3. Additionally, PP is required to include the design details of the STPs, which are currently missing.</li> <li>PP to mention the commissioning dates for the project activity.</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>PP is required to provide a detailed description of the RO process, which is currently missing in this section. Additionally, the technical specifications for the RO system should be included.</li> </ol>					
Client/Responsible Party/Project Proponent Response Date: 22/04/2025					
PP has updated the PCNMR accordingly and provided the required documents					
Validation/Ver	ification Team A	ssessment		Date:	10/05/2025
On verification it was verified that PP has added all the above-mentioned information in section A.8 of PCNMR. The verifier has verified the details in updated PCNMR and found consistent. Hence, CAR 02 is closed.					
Classification		☐ CL/CR	□FAR	Number:	03
Raised by:	Ms. Ritu Singh	l		Document Reference	PCNMR
Finding Descr	iption			Date:	16/04/2025
In Section A.13 of the PCNMR, PP shall include the details of the meter.					
2. In section A.13 of PCNMR, PP to include the monitoring date.					
			Date:	22/04/2025	
PP has updated the PCNMR accordingly and provided the required documents					
Validation/Verification Team Assessment				Date:	10/05/2025
During assessment verifier has concluded that PP has added meter details and monitoring date in section A.13 of updated PCNMR. Hence, CAR 03 is closed.					

Table 3. FARs from this Project Verification

Description of FAR	
Project Owner's response	Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Documentation provided by Project Owner	
UWR Project Verifier assessment	Date: DD/MM/YYYY